



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/563,545

02/15/2007

Hannu Pirila

944-003.183-1

8661

4955 7590 10/09/2009

WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP  
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5  
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224  
MONROE, CT 06468

EXAMINER

JAMA, ISAAK R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/09/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                              |                                      |                                      |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/563,545 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>PIRILA ET AL. |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>ISAAK R. JAMA     | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2617              |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 June 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2, 7, 13 and 16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 8-12, 14, 15 and 17-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/22/2009</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

1. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 9 recites “...for forwarding in a transparent container, to the other network device for allowing the other network device to decode the service request signaling ....”. The underlined lacks any explanation in the Applicant’s disclosure, and in the context of the invention, as such; the Examiner is unable to interpret what the Applicant means by forwarding in a transparent container. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Status of Claims***

2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-12, 14, 15 and 17 are amended.
3. Claims 2, 7, 13 and 16 are cancelled.
4. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-12, 14, 15 and 17-22 are pending.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6, 8-12, 14, 15 and 17-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2617

7. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-12, 14, 15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European Patent Application Number 1 076 463 A2 (Torabi) in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,748,246 (Khullar).

8. Regarding claims 1, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18 and 20-22, Torabi teaches a method of operating in a network device which is a component of a multimode communication system and which is operable to serve a multimode terminal in a first mode, the method comprising: receiving service request signaling from the multimode terminal for requesting a service in at least one of various modes supported by the multimode terminal: said service being unsupported by the network device or by the multimode terminal in the first mode **[Column 6, lines 13-14; i.e. upon receiving a request from the user for a service unavailable at the visited network]**, and handing over the multimode terminal to another network device supporting a second mode and the requested service **[Column 6, lines 14-17; i.e. the visited network send an inquiry to the subscriber's home network or supporting network depending upon the residency of the service]** in order to establish the service in the second mode for the multimode terminal to receive the requested service from the other network device in the second mode, the requested service being supported by the multimode terminal in the second mode **[Column 6, the supporting network processes the received request by determining whether the service request can be implemented in one of the service providing entities, if so, the data contained in the received message is used by the identified service to provide the service]**. But Torabi does not specifically teach that the terminal is a multimode terminal or the services are in

Art Unit: 2617

different modes. Khullar teaches a method and apparatus for selecting an access technology in a multi-mode terminal **[Title]**, whereby a multi-mode terminal **[Figure 3]** with three access technologies (i.e. modes), such as GSM, W-CDMA and Edge-Compact, and that if a network connection can be maintained using W-CDMA at the radiated power level RPL B, and using EDGE Compact at the radiated power level RPL C, the multimode terminal would select W-CDMA as the optimal AT (access technology) **[Column 5, lines 10-13]**. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the multimode terminal and method of Khullar into the network system of Torabi in order to facilitate user requests in different access systems.

9. Regarding claims 3, 8, 14, 17 and 19, Torabi further teaches a method, system and apparatus where that the network device is using service request signaling messages that as such are used for services supported in the first mode, but using signaling parameter code points indicating a specific service that is not supported by the network device or by the multimode terminal in the first mode **[Column 4, lines 13-17; i.e. the mobile subscriber unit transitions from one network to a new serving network, and discovers that this network cannot support the services for which the mobile subscriber unit has subscribed]** but the specific service being supported by another system operating in the second mode **[Column 5, lines 44-50; i.e. the supporting network is optionally equipped with the full set of service providing functional entities. This enables the supporting network to implement any feature**

Art Unit: 2617

**that is desired and subscribed by a subscriber, and make it available at a mobile communication unit roaming outside its home network].**

10. Regarding claims 4, 5, 10 and 11, Khullar further teaches a method wherein the service request signaling is triggered by a multimode terminal originated service establishment request **[Column 3, lines 48-55; i.e. a first multi-mode terminal, e.g., a mobile station (MS), is communicating with a second multi-mode terminal, e.g., one or more base stations (BS), within a communicating network. Both the MS and the BS are capable of transmitting and receiving information within the network using various AT links, e.g., W-CDMA, GSM, and EDGE Compact].**

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ISAAK R. JAMA whose telephone number is (571)270-5887. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/IRJ/

/Lester Kincaid/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617